

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,120	09/08/2003	Lawrence N. Crane	LC-454/US/CON/DIV	7062	
7590 05/05/2005 HENKEL LOCTITE CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
			SELLERS, ROBERT E		
1001 Trout Brook Crossing Rocky Hill, CT 06067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,120	CRANE ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Sellers	1712
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the privisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8 Seg	<u>otebmer 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 11-19 and 23-28 is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>11-19 and 23-28</u> are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement	
	uon and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/a	, , , ,	-
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No. 10/082.278.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)	∧ □ 1 ^	(DTO 443)
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/656,120

Art Unit: 1712

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (a) The epoxy resins such as the bisphenol A epoxy resin of claim 17 elected in parent application no. 10/082,278.
- (b) The latent fluxing agents such as the reaction product of tall oil fatty acid and cyclohexyl vinyl ether employed in Example 1 on page 31, paragraph 108 of the specification which was elected in the parent application.
- (c) The curing compounds such as the anhydride of claim 18 which was elected in the parent application.
- (d) The composition with or without the filler of claim 24, wherein if its presence is elected, a particular species is designated from claim 24.
- (e) The compositions with or without the optional epoxy resin adduct of a carboxyl terminated toughening agent defined in claim 27, the last three lines.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species within each of items (a) to (e) hereinabove for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 11-19 and 23-28 are generic.

A reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Steven C. Bauman on April 20, 2005 to request an oral election to the above election of species requirement, but did not result in an election being made. The reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The specification based on the preliminary amendment filed September 8, 2005 on page 1, paragraph [0001] line 2, after "February 26, 2002," should be amended to include "U.S. Patent No. 6,667,194," for parent application no. 10/082,278.

Art Unit: 1712

The claims of parent application no. 10/082,278 are directed to a method of bonding a die chip using the composition as defined in the claims of the instant application. The closest prior art to Zhou et al. Patent No. 5,985,043; PCT Publication No. WO 98/37134 and Konarski et al. Patent No. 6,458,472 set forth compositions comprising an epoxy resin, curing agent and hydroxyl- or carboxyl-functional fluxing agent. The claimed fluxing agent wherein the phenolic or carboxylic acid compound is liberated upon heating at above 140°C is not recited. There is no motivation to mask, block or protect the functional groups of the fluxing agents of the references to prevent activity until 140°C is exceeded.

The attached Notice of References Cited, Form PTO-892 lists references from parent application no. 10/082,278.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at ,

(866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Sellers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1712

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/656,120	CRANE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert Sellers	1712	

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

The amendment document filed on 08 September 2003 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is

req	uired.
THE	E FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
	 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
	 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
	 ✓ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ☑ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. ☑ E. Other: Claims 1-10,20-22 and 29-39 not listed.
	further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at o://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf
TIN	ME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
1.	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
2	Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer from the mail date of this notice to supply the

- corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.